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The primary objectives of this presentation are to instruct Test Leads & Managers on how to improve their ability to manage and track a test effort utilizing the S-Curve and Zero Bug Bounce, as well as communicate the results of a test effort to other members of the Project Team. To that end, the following concepts will be discussed:

- **Test Management Using S-Curves**
  - What is an S-Curve
  - Collecting Data
  - Analyzing the Graph

- **Defect Management with the Zero Bug Bounce**
  - Tracking Defects
  - What is the Zero Bug Bounce
  - Analyzing the Graph
Successfully managing a test effort requires the ability to make objective and accurate estimates of the time and resources needed to stay on schedule. The S-Curve is one method for doing this.
An S-Curve is a graphical representation of the cumulative work effort, or a subset of the work effort, of a software project. S-Curves can be used to describe projects as a whole, development efforts, and test efforts, as well as defect discovery rates. We will focus on how S-Curves are used to manage test execution and defect discovery rates.
Test Management Using S-Curves

- What is an S-Curve?

  - Test efforts typically start out slowly as test analysts run into a few major defects that prevent them from moving forward quickly.

- What makes it an “S” shape?

  - As the initial issues are resolved, the test analysts are able to execute more tests covering a larger variety of functionality.

- How is it used?

  - As the test effort nears its end, there are typically a few leftover issues that must be resolved thus slowing the process down again.
Test Management Using S-Curves

- Plot the progress of various test metrics to quickly see the effectiveness of the test effort
  - TCs Passed vs. Planned Execution Time
  - Total Failures vs. Planned Execution Time

- Measure test progress by comparing the actual test curve to a theoretical S-Curve

- Use the curve to determine if the application is stable enough to be released

What is an S-Curve?

What makes it an “S” shape?

How is it used?
The first step in utilizing an S-Curve for test management involves deriving a theoretical curve, that is, a uniformly distributed curve indicating “optimum” test progress.

- The theoretical S-curve is calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{(\text{Day Number} / \text{Total Days in Test Effort})}{(\text{Day Number} / \text{Total Days in Test Effort}) + e^{(3-8 \times \frac{\text{Day Number}}{\text{Total Days}})}}
\]

- Using this formula will return the cumulative percentage of tests passed or defects found (depending on the metric being tracked).

Note 1: “e” is the base of the natural logarithm (2.71828182845904)
Note 2: the “3” and “8” in the formula set the location of the logarithmic curves
Here is an example of how a theoretical curve will look for a 15 day test effort with 100 test cases to be executed.

**S-Curve Calculations - Passed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Days</th>
<th># TCs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Theoretical Curve**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.70%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.08%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.28%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>32.82%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>49.28%</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>65.43%</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>78.40%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>87.30%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>92.80%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>97.79%</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>98.78%</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>99.33%</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By plotting the actual cumulative number of test cases passed or the cumulative number of defects found during a test effort and comparing the resulting graph to the theoretical curve, we are able to quickly and objectively identify risks and/or issues in the test effort, which will be explained later.
By plotting the actual cumulative number of test cases passed or the cumulative number of defects found during a test effort and comparing the resulting graph to the theoretical curve, we are able to quickly and objectively identify risks and/or issues in the test effort, which will be explained later.

Test Metrics Graph - Passed

Test Metrics Graph - Defects

explained later.
By plotting the actual cumulative number of test cases passed or the cumulative number of defects found during a test effort and comparing the resulting graph to the theoretical curve, we are able to quickly and objectively identify risks and/or issues in the test effort, which will be explained later.
What are some potential causes associated with this S-Curve? How might you correct these issues?
### Potential Causes

- Defects are causing significant numbers of test cases to be "blocked"
- Test resource re-allocation during the test effort

### Corrective Actions

- Request an emergency fix from development team to correct the defect(s) causing tests to be blocked
- Request additional test resources
- Re-evaluate test case execution prioritization to ensure the most critical functionality can be tested prior to release
What are some potential causes associated with this S-curve? How might you correct these issues?
Analyzing S-Curves

Potential Causes

- Underestimated the number of defects in the release
- Development team releases “bug fixes” with defects still present

Corrective Actions

- Re-evaluate average defect rate related to this type of application or project
- Request the Development Lead to enforce unit testing and/or peer-code reviews before releasing fixes to test
The Zero Bug Bounce
Defect tracking is the process of monitoring what happens to a defect when it is found during the test effort.

Without proper control over this process, it can be difficult to ensure that all of the objectives of the test effort have been met and to determine when it is complete.
Defect tracking allows us to evaluate our ability to adhere to the schedule based on the number of defects discovered and the amount of time to correct them.

Through this process we can track:

- Which defects must be fixed,
- When defects are corrected, and
- When the system is ready for production.
The Zero Bug Bounce (ZBB) is a defect management technique made popular by Microsoft. Strictly speaking, it is the point in the test effort of a project when the developers have corrected ALL open defects and they have essentially “caught up” with the test team’s defect discovery rate. The “bounce” occurs when the test team finds additional defects and the development team must again begin defect correction activities.

After the initial bounce occurs, peaks in open defects will become noticeably smaller and should continue to decrease until the application is stable enough to release to production. This is what I call the ripple effect of the ZBB.
How do you track the ZBB?

The Zero Bug Bounce is tracked by charting the number of Open defects at the end of each day during test execution.
Some Notes On the ZBB

- The “bounce” does not always happen at zero
- The initial “bounce” typically occurs near the end of test execution
- There IS a *ripple effect*
- Use the height and length of the *ripple effect*, in addition to the timing of the initial bounce, to determine if the application is stable enough to be released to production
Is the application under test stable enough to release into the production environment?

Possibly, but not likely. There is a significant chance that a ripple effect will occur.
**Question**

What is wrong with this picture? Can the application be released in 2 days?

**Answer**

The developers are not correcting the defects in a timely manner. The application should not be released in 2 days.
The S-Curve and Zero Bug Bounce graphs can improve your ability to manage and track a test effort by providing visual clarity of the issues faced during test execution. Utilizing these graphs to measure and track test progress helps ensure timely and accurate delivery of a high-quality application to the production environment by:

- Helping to determine the resources necessary to complete the test effort in a timely manner
- Report the progress of the test effort through objective test metrics
- Assess the risk of component or application failure prior to release to production
Q & A

Questions & Answers

If true, then why do seven out of ten new software systems fail in some way after they have been released to customers? They fail because many companies do not have processes implemented to catch defects early in the software development life cycle. Additionally, failure occurs because there is no sense of accountability among the employees. Failure is the direct result of lacking a definitive plan to control quality.

Why QA?
Adopting a QA process allows your software to do what you envisioned. Teams are more likely to succeed in the world of business that can build a reputation as well as the software.

Quality Assurance isn’t a revolution of ideas. It is piece of sound.